### METROPOLITAN SEWERAGE DISTRICT of Buncombe County, N.C.



### **PIPE RATING PROGRAM**

Aqua Salubris

#### What is it?

A new, *proactive* program used to define and develop rehabilitation projects.

The usual *reactive* approach has been to rehabilitate an entire line after significant problems have occurred – such as line failures, SSO's, etc.

Pipe Rating is a *structural* asset rating tool which utilizes multiple components to rate pipe segments.

 Purpose: To get the Biggest (and Quickest) Bang for the Buck. This method is proving quicker and more efficient than our Basin Rehabilitation Projects.

 Focuses Rehabilitation Dollars where they are most needed – whether at a single point repair or a traditional D&R project.

### Events Leading to Development

Pipe Rating was developed as a progression of our Basin Rehabilitation, Preventative Maintenance, and GIS programs. Several years ago MSD completed its first basin-wide project (23,000 LF), and is 71% finished with the second basin-wide project (49,000 LF).

 Basin-Wide Rehabilitation, though comprehensive, is both time-and-capital intensive, taking 3-5 years to develop and complete a project. With sixty-nine sub-basins within the District, this approach is too slow.

This led MSD to investigate more efficient methods.

How was it started?

A special group was formulated which was comprised of several levels of staff including GIS, System Services, engineering, and management.

This "Vertical Team" helped to garner support from all levels within MSD and to gather as diverse an input as possible.

Elements Used:

1) CCTV information
 2) Defect Scoring System
 3) GIS Database Software
 4) Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) history
 5) Engineering analysis

These components are combined to generate specific projects for problem lines.

#### How Does the Process Work?

1) A line segment is first cleaned and CCTV' d, as a part of MSD's Preventative Maintenance Program. MSD is required to clean 10% of our system each year, in accordance with our collection system permit.

MSD has CCTV' d 41 of 69 basins totaling
\*\*\* 516 miles (57% of our total system) as \*\*\* of Nov. 2004.

 Each structural defect noted in the video inspection is given a defect score, in accordance with MSD's standardized scoring system. Defects are then embedded within the GIS database, with appropriate scores attached.

#### MSD Structural Defect Scores

Co	ode	Description	Score
CC	c (	Circumferential Crack	20
CL		ongitudinal Crack	20
CM	1 N	Iultiple Cracks	30
CC	)H C	Corrosion Heavy	50
CC	)L C	Corrosion Light	20
CC	OM C	Corrosion Medium	30
D		Deformed Sewer	65
FC	(	Circumferential Fracture	40
FL		ongitudinal Fracture	40
FM	I N	Iultiple Fractures	60
HL	De la F	lole Large	50
HP	) F	lole Patched	5
HS	;	lole Small	25
JD	L J	oint Displaced Large	30
JD	M J	oint Displaced Medium	5
OJ	L C	)pen Joint Large	30
OJ	M C	pen Joint Medium	10
X	(	Collapsed Pipe	100

> These scores are based on MSD's standardized <u>Sewer</u> <u>Condition Classification Manual</u>. Scores are weighted According to MSD priorities.

How Does the Process Work? (cont'd)

3) Upon quantifying all *structural* defects within a pipe segment, three defect ratings are generated in our GIS:

 I) Peak Defect Rating
 II) Mean Defect Rating
 III) Mean Pipeline Rating

Also, a fourth component is added for prior overflow history: IV) SSO Rating

#### PIPE RATING PROGRAM

#### ~ A simplified explanation of scoring and an example of Pipe Rating ~

NOTE: The defect scores come from the CCTV inspection of each line segment. Each defect found (an offset joint for example) is assigned a value, based on severity, from MSD's standard scoring system.

Peak Defect	Highest score in any one foot section of pipe
Mean Defect	The total sum of defects score divided by the number of defects
Mean Pipeline	The total sum of defects score divided by the length of the pipe
SSO's	The number of SSO's that have occurred on a pipe segment (MH to MH)

**RATING** The associated pipe rating value assigned to the defect score or SSO count

MAP COLOR CODE	PEAK	DEFECT	RATING	MEAN	DEFECT	RATING	MEAN P	IPELINE	RATING	SSO's	RATING
STRATE IN	37.6	1220		1.1 11-1	1000				205	3.000	1.18
Green	< 2.0000		1	< 2.00000		1	0.01063	0.50000	1	1	5
	12 1		12.1	1.11		Z Act 1	12	Strate	100	1000	5-7.04
Light Orange	2.00001	15.00000	2	2.00001	6.00000	2	0.50001	0.90000	2	2	6
and the second	DOM: NO.		672.9			13.3	14 A 4		1.10		
Orange	15.00001	30.00000	3	6.00001	11.00000	3	0.90001	1.70000	3	3	7
	600		1.1			5.675		<b>4</b> 89 C	al most	775	6.68
Red	30.00001	50.00000	4	11.00001	17.00000	4	1.70001	3.00000	4	4	8
					400.0000				247.1	1.1.1	
Burnt	50.00001	100.00000	5	17.00001	100.00000	5	3.00001	9.26471	5	5	9

Example: A given segment of pipe has a number of defects resulting in scores within the above shaded ranges (as scored by the CCTV inspection). The pipe has also had one SSO per maintenance staff, therefore the *Pipe Rating* of this segment is : 3+5+1+5=14. This number is then applied against all other rated segments to help prioritize this individual line segment.

#### Then What?

This pipe rating is then used to flag the "worst-of-the-worst" pipes within a rated basin, as lines are ranked against other problem segments.

Once flagged, an engineer will further review CCTV footage of the segment, then evaluate rehabilitation options for the highest (meaning worst) rated pipes. This helps to make the engineer's time more efficient as well, as they are guided to the "worst" pipes.

All CCTV information is stored digitally and can be quickly retrieved for further analysis. An additional benefit of this format is that maintenance personnel can also quickly review CCTV information as needed.

### Map Showing *Peak Defect* Rating



### Map Showing Mean Defect Rating



### Map Showing Mean Pipeline Rating



### So – have we actually used this?

- MSD's first and second round of PR projects (@ approx. 6,839 LF) are both complete. These were both lining.
- Pipe Rating Contract III will be advertised July '05 (5000 ft. lining)
- In- house crews will be using Pipe Rating as a project source. (5000 ft. D&R)
- This program is organic and will re-prioritize over time as new data is received and other pipes are rated. There has been a good correlation between pipes with high ratings and real-time maintenance problems.
- To date, over 1,381,500 LF (262 miles) of sewer have been rated by this program. Approx. 412,500 LF (or 30%) have been flagged as significant for further review.

### Project Data Sheet

Proposed Pipe Rating Co	onst Date	FY to											
		Pip	e Rating	Project	Data								
Project Namie		-											
Pipe Rating Project No:	1900	1 Type of Project (Point Repair or Multiple Sec					Sections)	tions) SSOProject					
MSD Project No:				Basin No. <b>19</b>			GIS Map No.		-				
inob i rojoa no.	SSO's		-	Comr					iments				
5 · · · · · · · ·	Struct. Defect:												
Project Justification	Comb. SSO/Def.		1										
	Other:		1										
			Line Seg	iment Da	ita								
Begin MH#:	End MH#:	Line Segment #	Peak Defect	Mean Defect	Mean Pipe Defect	SSO	Pipe Rating Score	Length (LF)	Type Pipe	Pipe Dia. (Inch)	Pipe Slope	Pipe Flov Cap (CFS	
27869	27866	26012	0	0	0	6	6	488	VCP	8	0.0145	4.17144	
27872	27871	26014	1					139	VCP	6	0.0280	4.781250	
27873	27872	26015	0	0	0	5	5	188	VCP	6			
27866	27865	78401	0	0	0	5	5	276	VCP				
27871	53381	78404						287	VCP				
53381	27869	78405						242	VCP				
Project Description and L segments if different from Video Server No	Limits: (List manhole n those listed above)	Comments	1										
HILLO DEI YEI NO.		Preliminary	Enginee	rina Rec	ommen	dation							
lype of Construction reco Project Description and L segments if different fror	ommended (Lining, Pipe Limits: (List manhole n those listed above)	e Bursting, Open Cu	t, Other)										
<sup>o</sup> roject Location	Inside Street RAV C		Jutside Street R/W				Combination			Other			
RAV Aquition Required	Yes/No	Number of F	Anticipa	ated to	be nee	e needed:							
		Rec	commen	ded Sch	edule								
Recommended Design Schedule Recomme				ended R/W Acq. Schedule Re					ecommended Construction Schedule				
Months (2 Mo. Min)		in) Mon				Months	vlonths (2 Mo.Bid/Adv Min)						
Begin Date			Begin Da				ate	te					
Complete Date		Complete Date					Comple	te Date					
Project to be done	e as individual project o	r combine with other	similarp	rojects fo	or bidding	3							
Data and and	<b>Dine Dating Project</b> (	onstruction Date			FY								

### Plans for the Future

#### Root Component

MSD is planning to add a root-component to the rating process.

The addition of this parameter should prove as an additional indicator of lines which either need chemical treatment for roots or could be lined as a more permanent solution to a given root problem.

#### Tracking of Completed Projects

What to do about the *existing* CCTV footage/Pipe Rating data which are both stored within the database. Easiest option will be to simply flag segments as opposed to new CCTV work.

#### Asset Management/GASB34 Requirements

When the entire system has been rated (est. 2008), Pipe Rating will help to serve as a condition assessment tool for the *Modified Approach* under GASB34.

Association of Metropolitan Sewerage Agencies

amsa

# Environal Environmental Achievementaward

In May of 2003, MSD received an AMSA National Environmental Achievement Award for the Pipe Rating Program. *This program was developed solely with MSD in-house staff and off-the-shelf software.* 

MSD and Los Angeles were the only two utilities in the nation to receive this award.

### For More Information....



#### Please visit our web site www.msdbc.org





Questions?

Next - Eric Mann, GIS Coordinator

Aqua Salubris

#### AMSA Award for Pipe Rating Project



- In May of 2003, MSD received an AMSA National Environmental Achievement Award for the Pipe Rating Program
- Developed in-house with a vertical team using off-the-shelf software

